

Background and applications of statistical thermodynamics



1558 Technical Retreat 2024 - Albuquerque, New Mexico, USA

Presented by:

Michael R. Buche^{1,*}

¹Sandia National Laboratories *mrbuche@sandia.gov



Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology and Engineering Solutions of Sandia LLC, a whielly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International Inc. for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contrast DE-NAD03525.

SAND NO. 2024-05463C

2 Abstract

Statistical thermodynamics is a powerful tool.

- Only a few axioms and equations, but a lot of examples.
- Allows constitutive relations to be obtained from molecular physics.
- Nuances from ensemble and system size, state variables and equilibrium, etc.
- Applicable to quantum mechanical systems, of course.

Statistical thermodynamics is sometimes the right tool.

- Molecular stretching, some constitutive modeling, subcritical crack growth.
- Performs poorly when underlying axioms are invalid.
- Performs poorly when the model system is not representative.

Statistical thermodynamics research continues.

- It has historically has focused on computational and approximation techniques.
- New applications and clever model system choices provide further motivation.



Background

Statistical mechanics

Statistical mechanics:

- Probabilistic interpretation of mechanics through f(p, q, t).
- State variables are all atomic positions/momenta, time.

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=1}^{3N} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial q_j} \dot{q}_j + \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_j} \dot{p}_j \right) = 0$$

- Fundamentally correct, but can be extremely unwieldy
 Statistical thermodynamics:
 - Statistical features do not evolve in time (equilibrium).
 - Severely reduced number of state variables (ensemble).
 - Macroscopic thermodynamics from constituent particles.



5 Statistical thermodynamics

Partition functions:

- Probability normalization for all calculations.
- Compute once, if possible, for all states.
- Configuration integral is typically impossible.
- Connection to thermodynamics by inference.
- Laplace transforms change the ensemble.

Difference from macroscopic thermodynamics:

- Non-state variables are averages and fluctuate.
- Ensemble-dependent results for small systems.
- Calculate averages of molecular variables.
- Things like temperature become nebulous.

 $Q(N, V, T) = \frac{1}{N!h^{3N}} \int \cdots \int e^{-H(p,q)/kT} dp dq$ $= \frac{1}{N!} \left(\frac{2\pi mkT}{h^2}\right)^{3N/2} Z(N, V, T)$ $Z(N, V, T) = \int \cdots \int e^{-U(q)/kT} dq$

$$A = -kT \ln Q(N, V, T)$$
$$\langle x \rangle = \frac{1}{Q} \int \cdots \int x(q) e^{-U(q)/kT} dq$$
$$P = -\frac{\partial A}{\partial V} \Big|_{N,T}$$

6 Statistical thermodynamics

Fundamental axioms [1]:

- Principle of equal *a priori* probabilities.
- The entropy is maximized at equilibrium.
- The entropy takes a specific form.
- Gibbs' postulate, (in)distinguishability of particles.
- Two approximation techniques:



If $U = U_0 + U_1$, where U_1 is weak ($U_1 \ll kT$) [2], i.e. derive van der Waals.

$$A \sim A_0 + \langle U_1 \rangle_0 - \frac{1}{2kT} \left[\langle U_1^2 \rangle_0 - \langle U_1 \rangle_0^2 \right] + \cdots$$

If $U = U_0 + U_1$, where U_1 is steep ($U_1 \gg kT$ and narrow) [3], i.e. correct RRHO.

$$A \sim A_0 + U_1 |_0 + kT \left[\left(\frac{A'_0}{kT} \right)^2 - \frac{A''_0}{kT} + \cdots \right]_0 + \cdots$$



Applications

Molecular stretching

Freely jointed chain models with extensible links [4].

- Ensemble is links N_b , force f, temperature T.
- Resistance due to entropy and link stretching.
- Analytic relations using asymptotic approach.

$$\gamma(\eta) \sim \mathcal{L}(\eta) + \frac{\eta}{\kappa} \left[\frac{1 - \mathcal{L}(\eta) \coth(\eta)}{c + (\eta/\kappa) \coth(\eta)} \right] + \Delta \lambda(\eta) \quad \stackrel{\text{formula}}{=}$$

Device effects in these stretching experiments [5].

- No device can apply a constant force or extension due to finite stiffness and size.
- Either ensemble provides a zeroth order approximation in certain device limits.
- Weak and steep theories provide corrections.



9 Constitutive modeling

Triple network elastomer, sacrificial cross-links [6].

- Single chains to bulk constitutive model [7].
- Largely successful across model types [8–10].

Rate-dependence and viscous dissipation.

- Possible failure of underling physics.
 - Failure of transition-state-like theories.
 - Intermolecular interactions not tangible.
- Definite failure of resulting model forms.
 - Always some useless flavor of e^{-kt} .
 - Phenomenological models are better.

Is non-equilibrium statistical mechanics compatible with thermodynamic constitutive theory?



10 Subcritical crack growth



- Harmonic bending, Morse potential bonds.
- Applied displacement or force ensembles.
- Velocity from transition state theory rate.
- Analytic solutions using assumptions:
 - Big system, steep potential, small stretch.

$$\frac{v}{b} \sim \frac{\omega_0}{\pi} \exp\left(\frac{f\Delta x^{\ddagger} - \Delta u^{\ddagger}}{kT}\right) \left(\frac{Rb^2}{2kT}\right) -$$

Similar to before, but better parameters now.

- SLS glass in water; no water in model [12, 13].
 - Possible explanation for *v* overestimation.
 - Future work: environment, dimensions.



11 Functional integrals

Infinite degrees of freedom.

- Continuous limit of discrete particles.
- Integrate over functions instead of numbers.
- Absolute free energies are not defined.

Asymptotic approach still applies [14].

- Worm-like polymer chain models [15].
- Nanoscale origami models [16].
- SPECtacular has similar expansions [17].
- Possibly applicable to quantum field theory.
 - Specifically, the path integral formulation.
 - Looking for a demonstrator problem.

$$Z = \int f(x) e^{-\lambda \phi(x)} \mathcal{D}x$$

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int \left[x(s) - x_0 \right]^2 ds$$

$$\lambda \gg 1$$

$$A = \int e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int u^2(s) ds} \mathcal{D}u$$

$$Z \sim \frac{A}{\sqrt{\lambda}} f(x_0) \left[1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{\lambda^m} \right]$$

12 Conclusion

Statistical thermodynamics is a powerful tool.

- Only a few axioms and equations, but a lot of examples.
- Allows constitutive relations to be obtained from molecular physics.
- Nuances from ensemble and system size, state variables and equilibrium, etc.
- Applicable to quantum mechanical systems, of course.

Statistical thermodynamics is sometimes the right tool.

- Molecular stretching, some constitutive modeling, subcritical crack growth.
- Performs poorly when underlying axioms are invalid.
- Performs poorly when the model system is not representative.

Statistical thermodynamics research continues.

- It has historically has focused on computational and approximation techniques.
- New applications and clever model system choices provide further motivation.

13 References

- [1] D. A. McQuarrie, Statistical Mechanics, (University Science Books, Mill Valley, CA, 2000).
- [2] R. W. Zwanzig, J. Chem. Phys. 22, 1420 (1954).
- [3] M. R. Buche, Cornell University (2021).
- [4] M. R. Buche, M. N. Silberstein, and S. J. Grutzik, Phys. Rev. E 106, 024502 (2022).
- [5] M. R. Buche and J. M. Rimsza, Phys. Rev. E **108**, 064503 (2023).
- [6] E. Ducrot, Y. Chen, M. Bulters, R. P. Sijbesma, and C. Creton, Science 344, 186 (2014).
- [7] M. R. Buche and M. N. Silberstein, Phys. Rev. E **102**, 012501 (2020).
- [8] F. J. Vernerey, R. Brighenti, R. Long, and T. Shen, Macromolecules **51**, 6609 (2018).
- [9] J. P. Mulderrig, B. Li, and N. Bouklas, Mech. of Mater. 160, 103857 (2021).
- [10] M. R. Buche and M. N. Silberstein, J. Mech. Phys. Solids 156, 104593 (2021).
- [11] M. R. Buche and S. J. Grutzik, Phys. Rev. E 109, 015001 (2024).
- [12] S. Wiederhorn and L. Bolz, J. Am. Cer. Soc. 53, 543 (1970).
- [13] S. Grutzik, K. Strong, and J. Rimsza, J. Non-Crystal. Solids X 16, 100134 (2022).
- [14] M. R. Buche, Sandia National Laboratories 02140R (2024).
- [15] J. F. Marko, Phys. Rev. E **57**, 2134 (1998).
- [16] M. Grasinger and P. Sharma, J. Mech. Phys. Solids 184, 105527 (2024).
- [17] K. N. Cundiff, M. R. Buche, B. L. Talamini, S. J. Grutzik, J. M. Kropka, and K. N. Long, Sandia National Laboratories 14317 (2023).